

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/707,013 | 11/14/2003 | Hiroshi Sakamoto | KM-US030555 | 1012 |
| GLOBAL IP C | 7590 04/07/200 OUNSELORS, LLP | 8 | EXAMINER | |
| 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680 | | | WHIPPLE, BRIAN P | |
| WASHINGTO | IN, DC 20056-2680 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2152 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/07/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Application No. Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|------------------------------|--------------------|
| N.C. CALL | 10/707,013 | SAKAMOTO, HIROSHI | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Brian P. Whipple | 2152 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence ad | ldress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See a | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period | d of three months |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was , which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | _ |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | otice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) \(\subseteq \text{No corrected drawings have been received.} \) | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for see | eking court review |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Examiner contacted attorney Todd Guise who confi | rmed that the case may be abanc | doned by the exar | miner. |
| /Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152 | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)